ON THE RATE MAXIMA OBSERVED IN THE ACID-HYDROLYSIS OF SOME ALKYLHYDROXAMIC ACIDS

A J Buglass\*, M Dorr, and M Juffkins

Department of Science, Cambridge College of Arts and Technology, Cambridge, CB1 1PT

<u>Abstract</u>. Rate maxima seen in the acid-hydrolysis of 2,2-dimethyl- and phenylacetohydroxamic acids are discussed in terms of acid-base equilibria, transition state formation and the different acidity functions that control these steps.

Maxima have been observed for plots of observed rate constant  $(k_{obs})$  versus acid concentration in the acid-hydrolysis of a wide variety of substrates, including arylhydroxamic acids<sup>1</sup>. These maxima are usually attributed to the existence of an A-2 mechanism, with an acid-base pre-equilibrium step. Mane and Jagdale also offer this explanation in their work on the acid-hydrolysis of acetohydroxamic acid and similar compounds<sup>2</sup>. However they present no evidence for the proposed acid-base equilibrium. Since there are other situations which can give rise to rate maxima<sup>1</sup>, it was thought necessary to investigate more closely the acid-hydrolysis of some alkylhydroxamic acids. Accordingly, we report the results of a preliminary investigation into the acid-catalysed hydrolysis of 2,2-dimethylacetohydroxamic (I) and phenylacetohydroxamic acid (II)<sup>3</sup> in aqueous sulphuric acid.

A rate maximum is observed in each case (Fig 1) and both substances are shown conclusively to be involved in an acid-base equilibrium as indicated by a study of their uv spectra in sulphuric acid solutions of concentrations up to 10 M.



 $\frac{\text{Figure 1}}{\text{Rate Profile for the}}$ Rate Profile for the Hydrolysis of I and II in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  at 49.8°

Analysis of the equilibrium data by both a conventional acidity function method<sup>4</sup> and by Carpentier's method<sup>5</sup> indicates that I and II are Hammett bases for the amide acidity function  $H_A$  (<sup>4</sup>H in Carpentier's terminology): the acid-base equilibrium is governed by the amide acidity function. Thus a plot of logI versus  $H_A$  for both I and II is linear with a slope of unity and  $pK_{BH}$  is -1.50 and -1.55 respectively. Similarly, a plot of  $1/(A-A_B)$  versus  $1/h_A$  is also linear and  $pK_{BH}$  is calculated as -1.40 and -1.66 respectively.

Analysis of the kinetic data below the acidity function value corresponding to  $p_{R_{BH}}^{H_{BH}}$ (below 3.0 <u>M</u> - H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) was carried out by plotting log k<sub>obs</sub> against H<sub>x</sub> (various acidity functions)<sup>6</sup>. These plots are linear with unit slope only for the ketone acidity function (<sup>5</sup>H in Carpentier's terminology). This implies that the transition state formation from the conjugate acid in the rate-limiting step is controlled by this acidity function and not by H<sub>A</sub>. In a recent analysis of the rate maxima which exist in the acid-hydrolysis of benz-amides, Carpentier and co-workers have proposed that the rate maxima occur because the acid-base pre-equilibrium step and the transition state formation step are governed by different acidity functions<sup>7</sup>. In particular the acidity function controlling the transition state formation increases less rapidly than the acidity function governing the acid-base equilibrium, with increasing acid concentration.

Consideration of the results of this preliminary investigation, in the light of Carpentier's approach, points to the operation of an A2 - type mechanism (equ 1) in which the substrates are significantly protonated in the more concentrated acid solutions, in broad agreement with Mane and Jagdale.

$$R = C = NHOH + H^{+} = R = C = NHOH = 2$$

$$R = C = NHOH = 2$$

$$R = C = NHOH = 2$$

$$R = C = NHOH = 2$$

$$H_{2}O$$

$$H_{2}O$$

$$H_{2}O$$

In particular the rate maxima can be considered a direct result of steps 1 and 2 being controlled by the amide acidity function and the ketone acidity function respectively.

We thank the Royal Society of Chemistry and the College Research Committee for financial support.

## REFERENCE AND NOTES

- 1 A J Buglass, K Hudson and J G Tillett, J Chem Soc (B), 1971, 123.
- 2 B S Mane and M H Jagdale, React kinet Cat lett, 1977, 6, 417.
- 3 N-hydroxy-2,2-dimethylpropanamide and N-hydroxy-2-phenylethanamide, respectively.
- 4 K Yates, J B Stevens and A R Katritzky, Can J Chem, 1964, 42, 1957.

$$pK_{BH}$$
 = log (A - A<sub>B</sub>)/(A<sub>BH</sub> + - A) + H<sub>x</sub> (= logI + H<sub>x</sub>)

 $A_B^{}$ ,  $A_{BH}^{}$  + and A are respectively the absorbance of the base, its conjugate acid and a mixture of the two at a particular value of H.

5 P Lemetais and J M Carpentier, J Chem Research, 1981 (S) 282; (M) 3369

$$1/(A - A_B) = 1/(A_B - A_{BH}) + K_{BH}/(A_B - A_{BH}) x$$

The symbols have the same meaning as for ref 4;  $H_x = -\log h_x$ .

- 6 Monitored by the Fe(III) complexation method described in ref 1;  $\lambda_{max}$  = 520 nm.
- 7 P Lemetais and J M Carpentier, <u>J Chem Research</u>, 1983, (S) 34; (M) 0358.

(Received in UK 19 May 1987)

3284